Photochemical behaviour of α -diketones on a silica gel surface: a novel photoreaction by intramolecular trapping of an excited ketone carbonyl oxygen by an aryl group

Tadashi Hasegawa,*,^a Manabu Imada,^a Yoshihiro Imase,^a Yuko Yamazaki^a and Michikazu Yoshioka^b

^a Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Gakugei University, Nukuikitamachi, Koganei, Tokyo 184, Japan

^b Department of Chemistry, Saitama University, Shimo-okubo, Urawa, Saitama 338, Japan



Irradiation of the benzils 1a,b having the lowest n,π^* excited state on dry silica gel with a high-pressure mercury lamp gives the benzoic acids 3a,b and bibenzofuranones 4a,b, whereas irradiation of 1-phenylpropane-1,2-dione 1c and biacetyl 1d gives acetaldehyde and/or benzoic acid; under these conditions, 4,4'-dimethoxybenzil 1e, the lowest excited state of which is possibly the π,π^* state, fails to react.

The photochemistry of carbonyl compounds has been thoroughly investigated and their behaviour is well established. Such compounds undergo Norrish Type I reactions,¹ Type II reactions,² pinacol formation through intermolecular hydrogen abstraction,² or Paterno-Buchi reactions.³ Although these photoreactions usually occur in solution, some of them also proceed on solid supports such as silica gel or alumina.4-8 Photoreactions on non-reactive solid supports have received much attention, since such a surface can provide an ordered two-dimensional environment for effecting and controlling photochemical processes.^{4b,9,10} Such photoreactions observed on the surface are fundamentally the same as those seen in solution, although large differences in the product distributions have been observed in some cases.^{4,6,7} We recently reported that some ketones show different photochemical behaviour on a silica gel surface from that in solution; 2-benzoylcyclohexanone underwent a Type I as well as a Type II photoreaction on a silica gel surface⁵ while giving an exclusive Type II reaction in solution.¹¹ Organic molecules may undergo distortion of their conformation by adsorption on the surface, and the distortion may give a new photoreactivity to the molecules. We report here on the novel surface photoreaction of diaryl α -diketones through unprecedented intramolecular trapping of an excited ketone carbonyl oxygen by an aryl group, and on possible uses of the surface as a reaction field for inducing new types of photoreactions.

Results and discussion

Irradiation of benzil 1a on dry silica gel with a 100 W highpressure mercury lamp gave benzoic acid 3a (59%) and the bibenzofuranone 4a (16%). The IR spectrum of compound 4a showed a peak due to the lactone carbonyl at 1720 cm⁻¹, and the ¹H NMR spectrum showed only peaks attributable to aromatic protons at δ 7.0–7.6. The parent peak at m/z 418 in the mass spectrum and the elemental analysis indicate a molecular formula of C₂₈H₁₈O₄. These data support the structure **4a**. Compound 4a should exist as a mixture of (\pm) - and meso-isomers. Indeed, the ¹³C NMR spectrum showed the presence of two isomers, the ratio of which is nearly 1:1, although the isomers could not be isolated. Irradiation of 1b under the same conditions also gave 3b (41%) and 4b (23%). On the other hand, irradiation of 1c and 1d under the same conditions gave only the α -cleavage products 2c (60%), 3c (60%) and 2d (30%). In these cases no bibenzofuranones were detected. The bibenzo-



a $R^1 = R^2 = Ph$ **b** $R^1 = R^2 = p \cdot MeC_6H_4$ **c** $R^1 = Me, R^2 = Ph$ **d** $R^1 = R^2 = Me$ **e** $R^1 = R^2 = p \cdot MeOC_6H_4$ -

Scheme 1 *Conditions: hv*/on SiO₂

furanones **4a**,**b** are products characteristic of the surface photoreaction of diaryl α -diketones **1a**,**b**. The bibenzofuranone **4a** could not be detected in solution photochemistry of benzil **1a**. Thus, it is noteworthy that the silica gel surface provides a new reaction field for inducing novel photoreactions.

Formation of 2c,d and 3a-c can be explained in terms of α -cleavage, as in the surface photoreaction of 2-benzoylcyclohexanone. Irradiation of benzaldehyde on a dry silica gel surface, gave benzoic acid as the sole photoproduct. Therefore, the failures of 1a-c to give aryl aldehydes can be reasonably explained in terms of the surface photoreaction of such initially formed compounds to afford the corresponding carboxylic acids. Because it does not absorb light above 300 nm, acetaldehyde failed to give acetic acid.

Formation of the bibenzofuranones can be explained in terms of coupling of the radical intermediate **6** produced from the biradical intermediate **5** which is probably formed by the intramolecular radical trapping of excited carbonyl oxygen by an aryl ring. Transformation from the biradical **5** to the radical **6** is an aromatization step, so that the loss of hydrogen occurs easily. Since no benzofuranone¹² was detected and the bibenzofuranones were produced under degassed conditions, the hydrogen may be trapped by the silica gel surface. Consequently oxygen molecules on the surface may react with the hydrogen because perfect degassing of the adsorbed oxygen may not have been achieved by the usual freeze–pump–thaw cycle.

The trapping of excited carbonyl oxygen by an aryl ring occurred only in diaryl α -diketones **1a**,**b**. This indicates that stabilization of the radical centre in the intermediate **5** by an aryl group is required for the trapping reaction. Irradiation of



Scheme 2 *Conditions and reagents:* i, $hv/on \operatorname{SiO}_2$; ii, $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^1$ or \mathbb{R}^2 ; iii, (O), $\mathbb{R}^3 = \operatorname{Ph}$ or $p\operatorname{-MeC}_6H_4$; iv, $\mathbb{R}^4 = \operatorname{H}$ or Me; v, $-\operatorname{H}_2$; vi, dimerization

1e, the lowest excited state of which is possibly π, π^* , gave no photoproducts. Therefore, the formation of the aldehydes 2, the acids **3** and bibenzofuranones **4** occurs from the lowest n,π^* excited state of the α -diketones. The trapping should require overlap of the singly occupied n-orbital located on the carbonyl oxygen and the $p\pi$ -orbital on an *ortho*-carbon of a benzene ring. These orbitals are perpendicular to each other when the molecular skeleton is planar. However, the long-wavelength band of benzil in the UV absorption spectrum is not at 450 nm as in glyoxal but at 370 nm, and the spectrum resembles that of benzaldehyde. The two aroyl groups are twisted with respect to each other by as much as 90° if each carbonyl group in the $\alpha\text{-diketones}$ is strongly conjugated and co-planar with the aryl group to which it is attached.¹³ In the ground state of benzil, both in the crystal and in solution, the two benzoyl groups are approximately planar and the groups join together with a torsion angle of 108°, although the molecule is free to twist about its central carbonyl-carbonyl bond.¹⁴ Benzaldehyde and benzil give the characteristic emission spectra of mono- and α -dicarbonyl molecules, with triplet-state energies of 71.9 and 54.3 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively.¹⁵ Hence strong interaction between the two benzoyl units is inferred in the excited benzil molecule. In the most excited state configuration of benzil possible, the phenyl groups are perpendicular to the planar dicarbonyl system.¹⁶ The distance between the carbonyl oxygen and orthocarbon in the excited state configuration of benzil is longer than in the co-planar structure, so that the singly occupied n-orbital and the $p\pi$ -orbital on the *ortho*-carbon cannot overlap. This is probably the reason why the trapping does not occur following photochemical irradiation of the diaryl a-diketones in solution. The overlap of these orbitals can be brought about by some distortion of the molecular conformation in the excited state. Formation of 4a, 4b from 1a, 1b on the silica gel surface through the intermediate 5 indicates that diaryl α -diketone molecules adsorbed on the surface would have a conformation sufficiently distorted to cause the overlap of these orbitals; that is, the distortion of conformation on the surface causes the new photoreactivity of the molecules.



Fig. 1 Dependence of the coverage on the extent of disappearance of the starting material in the surface photoreaction of **1a**. A 1 g amount of silica gel was used for all runs. The coverage was determined from calculations using space-filling models.

 Table 1
 Yields of photoproducts 2, 3 and 4

α-Diketone 1	Yield (%) ^a		
	2	3	4
а	Trace	59	16 ^b
b	Trace	41	23 ^c
с	60 ^d	60	0
d	30 ^d	0	_
e	0	0	0

^{*a*} Based on the amount of consumed starting material. ^{*b*} $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{H}$. ^{*c*} $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{M}e$. ^{*d*} Isolated as 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone.

The coverage on the surface by the molecules must be an important factor for surface photoreactions because the character of the reaction environment changes at 100% coverage. The monolayer coverage can be determined from the Langmuir adsorption isotherm^{4a,4c,17} or by calculations based on the surface area of silica gel and the area occupied by one molecule determined from space-filling models.^{4a,4c,5,18} The values of the monolayer coverage obtained from these two methods generally show good agreement.^{4a,4c} Thus, the monolayer coverage was determined from the calculation using the space-filling model. The surface area per gram of the silica gel used (Merck Kieselgel 60, Art. 7734) was 494 m². The area per molecule of **1a** was estimated to be *ca.* 1.12×10^{-18} m² by using space-filling models, whence 100% coverage is estimated as ca. 7.3×10^{-4} mol g⁻¹. When the ratio of **1a** to silica gel is over 7.3×10^{-4} mol g⁻¹, multiple layers must be formed. The effect of surface coverage on the photoreaction of 1a was studied using sample loading of different amounts of 1a on 1 g of the silica gel. Fig. 1 shows the relationship between the amounts of 1a loaded on the silica gel and the amounts of 1a which disappeared under the same irradiation conditions. The amounts of 1a which disappeared increased initially with an increase in the amounts loaded, reaching a maximum at near 100% coverage, and then decreased. No bibenzofuranones were detected at coverages exceeding 200%. These results strongly indicate that the adsorption on the surface causes a new photoreactivity of 1a.

In conclusion, diaryl α -diketones underwent a novel photoreaction to form bibenzofuranones on a silica gel surface through the radical intermediate produced from trapping of the singly occupied n-orbital located on the carbonyl oxygen by the $p\pi$ -orbital on an *ortho*-carbon in the benzene ring. The distortion of molecular conformation suitable for this novel trapping reaction probably occurred by initial adsorption on the silica gel surface.

Experimental

Mps are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded with a JASCO IR Report-100 instrument. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured with a JEOL JNM-LA400 or Bruker AM400 spectrometer using CDCl₃ as a solvent and tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Mass spectra were measured with a JEOL JMS-AX 505W mass spectrometer. GLC analysis was performed using a Shimadzu GC-8A gas chromatograph equipped with a flame-ionization detector using a 2 m column containing 3% SE 30. A Taika 100 W high-pressure mercury lamp was used as an irradiation source. The starting α -diketones **1a**–e were commercially available material and were used without further purification. Silica gel (Merck Kieselgel 60, Art. 7734) was used as received.

General procedure for irradiation of 1 on dry silica gel

The α -diketone 1 (ca. 2.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 cm³) was added to silica gel (5 g) in a 100 cm³ round-bottomed flask. The mixture was sonicated for 5 min after which the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The coated silica gel, divided into six nearly equal portions, was then placed in Pyrex tubes (18×180 mm). In the experiments under oxygen-free conditions the tubes were degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and sealed. The tubes were rotated and irradiated for 48 h with a 100 W high-pressure mercury lamp. The irradiated silica gel was collected. Acetone (20 cm³) was added to the silica gel to extract the organic components and the mixture was sonicated for 10 min. The silica gel was filtered off and washed with acetone (10 cm³). The combined filtrate and washings were then evaporated under reduced pressure. More than 93% of organic material based on the weight of the starting diketone used was recovered by this method. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of acetone-hexane (1:6, v/v) gave unchanged diketone and photoproducts. The structure of the photoproducts 2 and 3 were determined by direct comparison with commercial samples.

2,2'-Diphenyl-2,2'-bibenzofuran-3-(2H)-one 4a. Obtained as a (±)-*meso* mixture (isomer **A**:**B** = 52:48); mp 176–178 °C (from dichloromethane–hexane) (Found: C, 80.4; H, 4.4. C₂₈H₁₈O₄ requires C, 80.4; H, 4.3%); ν_{max} (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 1720 (C=O); δ_{H} (400 MHz) 7.0–7.6 (18 H, m, ArH); δ_{C} (400 MHz) isomer A: 90.5 (s, 2 C), 113.1 (d, 2 C), 121.6 (s, 2 C), 122.2 (d, 2 C), 124.5 (d, 4 C), 127.1 (d, 4 C), 127.9 (d, 2 C), 128.9 (d, 2 C), 131.0 (s, 2 C), 137.8 (d, 2 C), 170.1 (s, 2 C) and 196.4 (s, 2 C); isomer B: 89.9 (s, 2 C), 112.8 (d, 2 C), 121.2 (s, 2 C), 122.2 (d, 2 C), 124.7 (d, 4 C), 127.3 (d, 4 C), 127.9 (d, 2 C), 128.9 (d, 2 C), 131.2 (s, 2 C), 137.9 (d, 2 C), 170.8 (s, 2 C) and 196.2 (s, 2 C); *m/z* 418(M⁺) and 209.

2,2'-Di-*p***-tolyl-2,2'-bibenzofuran-3-(2***H***)-one 4b.** Obtained as a (±)-*meso* mixture (**A**: **B** = 49:51); mp 269–271 °C (from dichloromethane–hexane) (Found: C, 81.0; H, 5.6. $C_{32}H_{26}O_4$ requires C, 81.0; H, 5.5%); v_{max} (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 1710 (C=O); *m/z* 474 (M⁺) and 237; isomer A δ_{H} (400 MHz) 2.30 (6 H, s, 2 × Me), 2.37 (6 H, s, 2 × Me) and 6.8–7.5 (14 H, m, ArH); δ_{C} (400 MHz) 22.5 (q, 4 C), 91.2 (s, 2 C), 113.2 (d, 2 C), 119.4 (s, 2 C), 123.6 (d, 2 C), 124.2 (d, 2 C), 127.9 (d, 4 C), 128.0 (d, 4 C), 128.6 (s, 2 C),

138.5 (s, 2 C), 149.7 (s, 2 C), 171.2 (s, 2 C) and 195.7 (s, 2 C); isomer B $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz) 2.31 (6 H, s, 2 × Me), 2.36 (6 H, s, 2 × Me) and 6.8–7.5 (14 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (400 MHz) 21.2 (q, 4 C), 90.2 (s, 2 C), 112.9 (d, 2 C), 118.9 (s, 2 C), 123.6 (d, 2 C), 124.3 (d, 2 C), 127.8 (d, 4 C), 127.9 (d, 4 C), 128.5 (s, 2 C), 138.6 (s, 2 C), 149.8 (s, 2 C), 171.6 (s, 2 C) and 196.2 (s, 2 C).

Photolysis of 1a on a silica gel surface at different coverages

An appropriate amount of **1a** was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 cm³) and the solution was added to silica gel (1 g) in a Pyrex tube (18 × 180 mm). The mixture was sonicated for 5 min after which it was evaporated under reduced pressure. The tubes were rotated and irradiated for 48 h with a 100 W highpressure mercury lamp. To the adsorbed material was added acetone (5 cm³) containing a known amount of eicosane (*ca.* 0.1 mmol) as a calibrant for the GLC analysis and the mixture was sonicated for 10 min. The supernatant fluids were analysed by gas chromatography.

References

- 1 D. S. Weiss, in *Organic Photochemistry*, ed. A. Padwa, Mercel Dekker, New York, 1981, vol. 5, p. 347.
- 2 P. J. Wagner and B.-S. Park, in *Organic Photochemistry*, ed. A. Padwa, Mercel Dekker, New York, 1991, vol. 11, p. 227.
- 3 G. Jones, II, in *Organic Photochemistry*, ed. A. Padwa, Mercel Dekker, New York, 1981, vol. 5, p. 1.
- 4 (a) D. Avnir, L. J. Johnston, P. de Mayo and S. K. Wong, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 958; (b) P. de Mayo, Pure Appl. Chem., 1982, 54, 1623; (c) B. Frederick, L. J. Johnston, P. de Mayo and S. K. Wong, Can. J. Chem., 1984, 62, 403; (d) B. H. Baretz and N. J. Turro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 1309.
- 5 T. Hasegawa, M. Imada and M. Yoshioka, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 1993, 6, 494.
- 6 (a) P. de Mayo, A. Nakamura, P. W. I. Tsang and S. K. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, **104**, 6824; (b) H. Aoyama, K. Miyazaki, M. Sakamoto and Y. Omote, Chem. Lett., 1983, 1583; (c) P. de Mayo and N. Ramnath, Can. J. Chem., 1986, **64**, 1293.
- 7 T. Hasegawa, J. Moribe and M. Yoshioka, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1988, **61**, 1437.
- 8 V. Dave, R. Farwaha, P. de Mayo and J. B. Stothers, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1985, **63**, 2401.
- 9 P. V. Kamat, Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 267.
- 10 J. K. Thomas, Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 301.
- 11 (a) C. L. MaIntosh, Can. J. Chem., 1967, 45, 2267; (b) T. Hasegawa, M. Nishimura and M. Yoshioka, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 1990, 3, 230.
- 12 K. Hanaya, T. Muramatsu and E. Hasegawa, *Chem. Ind.*, 1990, 802.
- H. H. Jaffe and M. Orchin, in *Theory and Applications of Ultraviolet Spectroscopy*, John Wiley, New York, 1966, p. 420.
- M. Kaftory and M. B. Rubin, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1983, 149.
- 15 W. G. Herkstroeter, A. A. Lamola and G. S. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 4537.
- 16 D. J. Morantz and A. J. C. Wright, J. Chem. Phys., 1971, 54, 692.
- 17 (a) L. D. Weis, T. R. Evans and P. A. Leermarkers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 6109; (b) R. K. Bauer, P. de Mayo, W. R. Ware and K. C. Wu, J. Phys. Chem., 1982, 86, 3781.
- 18 L. J. Johnston, P. de Mayo and S. K. Wong, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 20.

Paper 7/00141J *Received* 7*th January* 1997 *Accepted* 21*st January* 1997